

KUWOLF

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION C O N F I D E N T I A L	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. EGMA 60486
TO INFO	Chief, KUWOLF KUSODA, EE, COS/G		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 200-124-39
FROM	Chief, Munich Operations Base		DATE 13 November 1962
SUBJECT	C A M O G / D T D O R I C / Q K A C T I V E / A d m i n i s t r a t i v e Request for Additional PBCHORD Security Officer		RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE) MARKED FOR INDEXING ☒ NO INDEXING REQUIRED
ACTION REQUIRED	See paragraph 4		INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY
REFERENCE(S)			
1. We have received a formal request from ☐ for the assignment by 1 January 1963 of an additional Security Officer in PBCHORD, Munich. This request is enclosed under separate cover. 2. The need for such a senior officer is clearly set forth in ☐ memorandum and has been confirmed by ☐ in discussions with him and with other responsible PBCHORD officials. The officer should of course be supplied by KUBARK. 3. The present Security Officer, ☐ is scheduled to return to Headquarters in the fall of 1963. We believe that he should be replaced at that time by a junior officer, thereby assuring that the PBCHORD Security Office in Munich remains staffed with two responsible KUBARKers. 4. ☐ fully endorses ☐ request for an additional officer and urges Headquarters to attempt to furnish such a man via PBAFFIRM hiring channels by 1 January 1963.			
Attachment A, s/c DOC FORWARDED W/O S/C BY RID/AN 23 NOV 1962 Distribution: 2 - KUWOLF, w/1 cy att 1 - EE, w/o att 1 - KUSODA, w/1 cy att 2 - COS/G, w/1 cy att			
DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHOD EXEMPTION 3520 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007			
GROUP 1: Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification.			
FORM 10-57 53 (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION C O N F I D E N T I A L	PAGE NO. 1

CS COPY

200-124-39/2

CONFIDENTIAL

SEPARATE COVER ATTACHMENT TO EGMA 60486

AMCONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

November 9, 1962

SUBJECT: Situation in the ACL Security Office in Munich.

Recent developments have made it clear that it is urgently necessary to assign an additional officer to the Security Office.

During the past year an increasing amount of time of the Security Officer has been taken up by trips between his office and other offices outside of the American Committee. On some days such trips have taken up half of the time of the Security Officer. Furthermore, these trips have often to be made urgently and the Security Officer is obliged to lay aside work he is doing at the time. There is no indication that the number of these trips will diminish in the near future. In fact, it is probable that they will tend to increase.

It has become evident, as a result of the recent international crisis, that the responsibilities devolving on the Security Office in connection with the emergency evacuation plan cannot be effectively discharged by that office if there is only one officer on duty in the Security Office. For many years the Security Officers have done their best to meet their responsibilities in this regard, namely, to draw up in consultation with the appropriate military authorities an effective evacuation plan and keep it up-to-date. But we have never had a definitive plan ready for implementation in the case of an emergency. It is quite clear now that it will not be possible for us to have such an effective evacuation plan if we have only one Security Officer.

There are a number of things relating to general security work to which more attention should be given but in regard to which very little is now done, as a result of the burden of work devolving upon a single Security Officer. Furthermore, there are certain security matters which appear to be increasing in volume, such as approaches to our employees on the part of Soviet agencies or individuals. Every such case requires very careful investigation which involves a great deal of time.

During the past, in view of the fact that we have had only one Security Officer, makeshift arrangements had to be made for someone to act as a substitute whenever the Security Officer was obliged to absent himself from Munich on official business or was on leave. Such makeshift arrangements have

CONFIDENTIAL

- 2 -

never functioned satisfactorily and it seems to me that in respect to an organization of the size of the American Committee in Munich, there should always be a Security Officer on duty in Munich.

In the light of the present situation it is recommended that a senior officer be assigned to the Security Office as soon as possible, preferably by the first of January at the latest. Such an officer must have a knowledge of German because his duties will require him to have contacts with people who speak only that language. If he should also speak Russian, it would, of course, be a great help. Furthermore, it is of importance that the new senior officer possesses the experience and capabilities necessary to discharge effectively the duties and responsibilities incumbent on the Security Office of the ACL in Munich.

I understand that the present Security Officer, [] has requested to be transferred at the end of his present tour of duty. He could remain as Assistant to the new officer until the end of his tour and then be replaced by a junior officer.

[]